§ 802.29

may seem unrelated, irrelevant, or incomplete when collected may take on added meaning or significance as an investigation progresses. The restrictions of this provision could interfere with the preparation of a complete investigation report, and thereby impede effective law enforcement.

(viii) From subsection (e)(8) because the application of this provision could prematurely reveal an ongoing criminal investigation to the subject of the investigation, and could reveal investigation techniques, procedures, and/or evidence.

(ix) From subsection (g) to the extent that this system is exempt from the access and amendment provisions of subsection (d) pursuant to subsections (j)(2), (k)(1), and (k)(2) of the Privacy Act.

§802.29 Exemption of the Pretrial Services Agency System.

The Privacy Act permits specific systems of records to be exempt from some of its requirements.

- (a) (1) The following systems of records are exempt from 5 U.S.C. 552a(c) (3) and (4), (d), (e) (1)-(3), (4) (G)-(I), (5) and (8), (f) and (g):
- (i) Automated Bail Agency Database (ABADABA) (CSOSA/PSA-1).
- (ii) Drug Test Management System (DTMS) (CSOSA/PSA-2).
- (iii) Interview and Treatment Files (CSOSA/PSA-3).
- (iv) Pretrial Realtime Information Systems Manager (PRISM) (CSOSA/ PSA-6).
- (2) Exemptions from the particular subsections are justified for the following reasons:
- (i) From subsection (c)(3) because defendants/offenders will not be permitted to gain access or to contest contents of these record systems under the provisions of subsection (d) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. Revealing disclosure accountings can compromise legitimate law enforcement activities and CSOSA/PSA responsibilities.
- (ii) From subsection (c)(4) because exemption from provisions of subsection (d) will make notification of formal disputes inapplicable.
- (iii) From subsection (d), (e)(4)(G) through (e)(4)(I), (f) and (g) because exemption from this subsection is essen-

tial to protect internal processes by which CSOSA/PSA personnel are able to formulate decisions and policies with regard to defendants/offenders, to prevent disclosure of information to defendants/offenders that would jeopardize legitimate correctional interests of rehabilitation, and to permit receipt of relevant information from other federal agencies, state and local law enforcement agencies, and federal and state probation and judicial offices.

- (iv) From subsection (e)(1) because primary collection of information directly from defendants/offenders about criminal history or criminal records is highly impractical and inappropriate.
- (A) It is not possible in all instances to determine relevancy or necessity of specific information in the early stages of a criminal or other investigation.
- (B) Relevancy and necessity are questions of judgment and timing; what appears relevant and necessary when collected ultimately may be deemed unnecessary. It is only after the information is assessed that its relevancy and necessity in a specific investigative activity can be established.
- (C) In interviewing individuals or obtaining other forms of evidence or information during an investigation, information could be obtained, the nature of which would leave in doubt its relevancy and necessity. Such information, however, could be relevant to another investigation or to an investigative activity under the jurisdiction of another agency.
- (v) From subsection (e)(2) because the nature of criminal and other investigative activities is such that vital information about an individual can only be obtained from other persons who are familiar with such individual and his/her activities. In such investigations it is not feasible to rely upon information furnished by the individual concerning his/her own activities.
- (vi) From subsection (e)(3) because disclosure would provide the subject with substantial information which could impede or compromise the investigation. The individual could seriously interfere with investigative activities and could take appropriate steps to evade the investigation or flee a specific area.

(vii) From subsection (e)(8) because the notice requirements of this provision could seriously interfere with a law enforcement activity by alerting the subject of a criminal or other investigation of existing investigative interest.

(viii) Those sections would otherwise require CSOSA to notify an individual of investigatory materials contained in a record pertaining to him/her, permit access to such record, permit requests for its correction (section 552a(d), (e)(4)(G), and (H)); make available to him/her any required accounting of disclosures made of the record (section 552a(c)(3)), publish the sources of records in the system (section 552a(4)(I)); and screen records to insure that there is maintained only such information about an individual as is relevant to accomplish a required purpose of the Agency (section 552(e)(1)). In addition, screening for relevancy to Agency purposes, a correction or attempted correction of such materials could require excessive amounts of time and effort on the part of all con-

(b) [Reserved]

PART 810—COMMUNITY SUPER-VISION: ADMINISTRATIVE SANC-TIONS

Sec.

810.1 Supervision contact requirements.

810.2 Accountability contract.

810.3 Consequences of violating the conditions of supervision.

AUTHORITY: Pub. L. 105–33, 111 Stat. 712 (D.C. Code 24–1233(b)(2)(B)).

Source: 66 FR 48337, Sept. 20, 2001, unless otherwise noted.

§810.1 Supervision contact requirements.

If you are an offender under supervision by the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia ("CSOSA"), CSOSA will establish a supervision level for you and your minimum contact requirement (that is, the minimum frequency of face-to-face interactions between you and a Community Supervision Officer ("CSO")).

§810.2 Accountability contract.

- (a) Your CSO will instruct you to acknowledge your responsibilities and obligations of being under supervision (whether through probation, parole, or supervised release as granted by the releasing authority) by agreeing to an accountability contract with CSOSA.
- (b) The CSO is responsible for monitoring your compliance with the conditions of supervision. The accountability contract identifies the following specific activities constituting substance abuse or non-criminal violations of your conditions of supervision.
 - Substance abuse violations.
 - (i) Positive drug test.
- (ii) Failure to report for drug testing.
- (iii) Failure to appear for treatment sessions.
- (iv) Failure to complete inpatient/outpatient treatment programming.
 - (2) Non-criminal violations.
 - (i) Failure to report to the CSO.
- (ii) Leaving the judicial district without the permission of the court or the CSO.
- (iii) Failure to work regularly or attend training and/or school.
- (iv) Failure to notify the CSO of change of address and/or employment.
- (v) Frequenting places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered.
- (vi) Associating with persons engaged in criminal activity.
- (vii) Associating with a person convicted of a felony without the permission of the CSO.
- (viii) Failure to notify the CSO within 48 hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.
- (ix) Entering into an agreement to act as an informer or special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the Court or the United States Parole Commission ("USPC").
- (x) Failure to adhere to any general or special condition of release.
- (c) The accountability contract will identify a schedule of administrative sanctions (see §810.3(b)) which may be imposed for your first violation and for subsequent violations.
- (d) The accountability contract will provide for a reduction in your supervision level and/or the removal of previously imposed sanctions if: